

12.1 Inference for a population proportion

I think that 50% of the cm cubes in your bag is yellow.

Test my claim-- is there evidence that the proportion is 0.5?

We wonder about how much of a **population** has **some characteristic**.

Take an SRS of size n from the **population** and count the # X of **successes**.

The sample proportion of **successes**

$$\hat{p} = \frac{X}{n}$$

estimates the unknown population proportion p .

What % of **FHN students** have an **iPhone**.

SRS of n **FHN students**

Find the sample proportion of **successes**

$$\hat{p} = \frac{X}{n}$$

estimates the unknown proportion.

(page 686)

Remember? For the sampling distribution of \hat{p}

$$\mu_{\hat{p}} = p \quad \sigma_{\hat{p}} = \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$$

We don't know the parameter p ,
so we estimate with \hat{p} -hat:

$$SE_{\hat{p}} = \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}}$$

(We do something similar in ch. 11 with s for σ .)

Conditions/Assumptions (page 687)

random

independent

ten %

and large enough n ←

**this lets us
use z scores
and normal
approximations**

more detail on the next slide



Conditions/Assumptions for inference about a proportion (page 687)

- random sample
- of independent chosen items
- with less than ten % of the population ($N > 10n$), but
- a large enough n that we see (CI) or expect (HT/TOS) at least 10 successes and at least 10 failures

$$\underline{n\hat{p} \geq 10} \quad \underline{n(1 - \hat{p}) \geq 10}$$

$$\underline{np_0 \geq 10} \quad \underline{n(1 - p_0) \geq 10}$$

In a CI, we don't propose p , so we need to see ≥ 10 successes and ≥ 10 failures

In $H_0: p=p_0$, we propose p , so we need to expect ≥ 10 successes and ≥ 10 failures

Very important distinction for later:

For CI use

$$\sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}}$$

In a CI, we don't propose p , so we use the observed proportion

For HT/TOS use

$$\sqrt{\frac{p_0(1-p_0)}{n}}$$

In $H_0: p=p_0$, we propose p , so we use the hypothesized proportion

As a result, there is no exact correspondence between the CI and two-sided test.

Confidence Interval for a Population Proportion (page 689)

An approximate level C confidence interval for p is

categorical
z ap ta x

quantitative

$$\hat{p} \pm z^* \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}}$$

remember, z^* is the upper $(1-C)/2$ standard normal critical value.

Example:

In a random sample of 200 students applying to universities in some state, 10% didn't meet the proposed new standards for math proficiency. What is the 90% CI for the proportion of all college applicants in the state who didn't meet standards?


Significance test for a population proportion p (page 689)

To test the hypothesis $H_0: p = p_0$, compute the z statistic


$$z = \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{\frac{p_0(1-p_0)}{n}}}$$

For variable Z having $N(0,1)$, the approximate P-value for a test of H_0 against


$H_a: p > p_0$ is $P(Z \geq z)$



$H_a: p < p_0$ is $P(Z \leq z)$



$H_a: p \neq p_0$ is $2P(Z \geq |z|)$



z	.00	.01	.02	.03	.04	.05	.06	.07	.08	.09
0.0	.5000	.5040	.5080	.5120	.5160	.5199	.5239	.5279	.5319	.5359
0.1	.5398	.5438	.5478	.5517	.5557	.5596	.5636	.5675	.5714	.5753
0.2	.5793	.5832	.5871	.5910	.5948	.5987	.6026	.6064	.6103	.6141
0.3	.6179	.6217	.6255	.6293	.6331	.6368	.6406	.6443	.6480	.6517
0.4	.6554	.6591	.6628	.6664	.6700	.6736	.6772	.6808	.6844	.6879
0.5	.6915	.6950	.6985	.7019	.7054	.7088	.7123	.7157	.7190	.7224
0.6	.7257	.7291	.7324	.7357	.7389	.7422	.7454	.7486	.7517	.7549
0.7	.7580	.7611	.7642	.7673	.7704	.7734	.7764	.7794	.7823	.7852
0.8	.7881	.7910	.7939	.7967	.7995	.8023	.8051	.8078	.8106	.8133
0.9	.8159	.8186	.8212	.8238	.8264	.8289	.8315	.8340	.8365	.8389
1.0	.8413	.8438	.8461	.8485	.8508	.8531	.8554	.8577	.8599	.8621
1.1	.8643	.8665	.8686	.8708	.8729	.8749	.8770	.8790	.8810	.8830
1.2	.8849	.8869	.8888	.8907	.8925	.8944	.8962	.8980	.8997	.9015
1.3	.9032	.9049	.9066	.9082	.9099	.9115	.9131	.9147	.9162	.9177
1.4	.9192	.9207	.9222	.9236	.9251	.9265	.9279	.9292	.9306	.9319
1.5	.9332	.9345	.9357	.9370	.9382	.9394	.9406	.9418	.9429	.9441
1.6	.9452	.9463	.9474	.9484	.9495	.9505	.9515	.9525	.9535	.9545
1.7	.9554	.9564	.9573	.9582	.9591	.9599	.9608	.9616	.9625	.9633
1.8	.9641	.9649	.9656	.9664	.9671	.9678	.9686	.9693	.9699	.9706
1.9	.9713	.9719	.9726	.9732	.9738	.9744	.9750	.9756	.9761	.9767
2.0	.9772	.9778	.9783	.9788	.9793	.9798	.9803	.9808	.9812	.9817
2.1	.9821	.9826	.9830	.9834	.9838	.9842	.9846	.9850	.9854	.9857
2.2	.9861	.9864	.9868	.9871	.9875	.9878	.9881	.9884	.9887	.9890
2.3	.9893	.9896	.9898	.9901	.9904	.9906	.9909	.9911	.9913	.9916
2.4	.9918	.9920	.9922	.9925	.9927	.9929	.9931	.9932	.9934	.9936
2.5	.9938	.9940	.9941	.9943	.9945	.9946	.9948	.9949	.9951	.9952
2.6	.9953	.9955	.9956	.9957	.9959	.9960	.9961	.9962	.9963	.9964
2.7	.9965	.9966	.9967	.9968	.9969	.9970	.9971	.9972	.9973	.9974
2.8	.9974	.9975	.9976	.9977	.9977	.9978	.9979	.9979	.9980	.9981
2.9	.9981	.9982	.9982	.9983	.9984	.9984	.9985	.9985	.9986	.9986
3.0	.9987	.9987	.9987	.9988	.9988	.9989	.9989	.9989	.9990	.9990
3.1	.9990	.9991	.9991	.9991	.9992	.9992	.9992	.9992	.9993	.9993
3.2	.9993	.9993	.9994	.9994	.9994	.9994	.9994	.9995	.9995	.9995
3.3	.9995	.9995	.9995	.9996	.9996	.9996	.9996	.9996	.9996	.9997
3.4	.9997	.9997	.9997	.9997	.9997	.9997	.9997	.9997	.9997	.9998

Choosing a sample size (page 695)

To determine the sample size n that gives a confidence interval with confidence level C with a specified margin of error ME, solve this for n:

$$ME \geq z^* \sqrt{\frac{p^*(1-p^*)}{n}}$$

where p^* is the most recent value of \hat{p} , a past estimate for the p .

When we don't have a value of \hat{p} , we use 0.5 instead.

$$\frac{.01}{1.96} \geq \frac{1.96}{1.96} \sqrt{\frac{.26(.74)}{n}}$$

$$.0051 \geq \sqrt{\frac{.26(.74)}{n}}$$

$$\frac{.0051^2}{1} \geq \frac{.26(.74)}{n}$$

$$\frac{n(.0051)^2}{.0027} \geq \frac{.26(.74)}{.0051^2}$$

an example coming up later

$$n \geq 7392$$

Why use a guess like 0.5 for p^* ?

To make the most cautious estimate when we have no prior information about the likely value of p .

p^*	$(1-p^*)$	$p^*(1-p^*)$
.1	.9	.09
.2	.8	.16
.3	.7	.21
.4	.6	.24
.5	.5	.25
.6	.4	.24
.7	.3	.21
.8	.2	.16
.9	.1	.09

When $p^*(1-p^*)$ is 0.25, then n is as large as possible, for the given confidence level and margin of error.

example:

What sample size is needed to get a 99% CI with margin of error less than or equal to .02?

$$ME = z^* \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$$

example:

What n is needed above if a previous study had $\hat{p} = 0.37$?

z	.00	.01	.02	.03	.04	.05	.06	.07	.08	.09
-3.4	.0003	.0003	.0003	.0003	.0003	.0003	.0003	.0003	.0003	.0002
-3.3	.0005	.0005	.0005	.0004	.0004	.0004	.0004	.0004	.0004	.0003
-3.2	.0007	.0007	.0006	.0006	.0006	.0006	.0006	.0005	.0005	.0005
-3.1	.0010	.0009	.0009	.0009	.0008	.0008	.0008	.0008	.0007	.0007
-3.0	.0013	.0013	.0013	.0012	.0012	.0011	.0011	.0011	.0010	.0010
-2.9	.0019	.0018	.0018	.0017	.0016	.0016	.0015	.0015	.0014	.0014
-2.8	.0026	.0025	.0024	.0023	.0023	.0022	.0021	.0021	.0020	.0019
-2.7	.0035	.0034	.0033	.0032	.0031	.0030	.0029	.0028	.0027	.0026
-2.6	.0047	.0045	.0044	.0043	.0041	.0040	.0039	.0038	.0037	.0036
-2.5	.0062	.0060	.0059	.0057	.0055	.0054	.0052	.0051	.0049	.0048
-2.4	.0082	.0080	.0078	.0075	.0073	.0071	.0069	.0068	.0066	.0064
-2.3	.0107	.0104	.0102	.0099	.0096	.0094	.0091	.0089	.0087	.0084
-2.2	.0139	.0136	.0132	.0129	.0125	.0122	.0119	.0116	.0113	.0110
-2.1	.0179	.0174	.0170	.0166	.0162	.0158	.0154	.0150	.0146	.0143
-2.0	.0228	.0222	.0217	.0212	.0207	.0202	.0197	.0192	.0188	.0183
-1.9	.0287	.0281	.0274	.0268	.0262	.0256	.0250	.0244	.0239	.0233
-1.8	.0359	.0351	.0344	.0336	.0329	.0322	.0314	.0307	.0301	.0294
-1.7	.0446	.0436	.0427	.0418	.0409	.0401	.0392	.0384	.0375	.0367
-1.6	.0548	.0537	.0526	.0516	.0505	.0495	.0485	.0475	.0465	.0455
-1.5	.0668	.0655	.0643	.0630	.0618	.0606	.0594	.0582	.0571	.0559
-1.4	.0808	.0793	.0778	.0764	.0749	.0735	.0721	.0708	.0694	.0681
-1.3	.0968	.0951	.0934	.0918	.0901	.0885	.0869	.0853	.0838	.0823
-1.2	.1151	.1131	.1112	.1093	.1075	.1056	.1038	.1020	.1003	.0985
-1.1	.1357	.1335	.1314	.1292	.1271	.1251	.1230	.1210	.1190	.1170
-1.0	.1587	.1562	.1539	.1515	.1492	.1469	.1446	.1423	.1401	.1379
-0.9	.1841	.1814	.1788	.1762	.1736	.1711	.1685	.1660	.1635	.1611
-0.8	.2119	.2090	.2061	.2033	.2005	.1977	.1949	.1922	.1894	.1867
-0.7	.2420	.2389	.2358	.2327	.2296	.2266	.2236	.2206	.2177	.2148
-0.6	.2743	.2709	.2676	.2643	.2611	.2578	.2546	.2514	.2483	.2451
-0.5	.3085	.3050	.3015	.2981	.2946	.2912	.2877	.2843	.2810	.2776
-0.4	.3446	.3409	.3372	.3336	.3300	.3264	.3228	.3192	.3156	.3121
-0.3	.3821	.3783	.3745	.3707	.3669	.3632	.3594	.3557	.3520	.3483
-0.2	.4207	.4168	.4129	.4090	.4052	.4013	.3974	.3936	.3897	.3859
-0.1	.4602	.4562	.4522	.4483	.4443	.4404	.4364	.4325	.4286	.4247
-0.0	.5000	.4960	.4920	.4880	.4840	.4801	.4761	.4721	.4681	.4641

Table B *t* distribution critical values

df	Tail probability <i>p</i>											
	.25	.20	.15	.10	.05	.025	.02	.01	.005	.0025	.001	.0005
60	.679	.848	1.045	1.296	1.671	2.000	2.099	2.390	2.660	2.915	3.232	3.460
80	.678	.846	1.043	1.292	1.664	1.990	2.088	2.374	2.639	2.887	3.195	3.416
100	.677	.845	1.042	1.290	1.660	1.984	2.081	2.364	2.626	2.871	3.174	3.390
1000	.675	.842	1.037	1.282	1.646	1.962	2.056	2.330	2.581	2.813	3.098	3.300
∞	.674	.841	1.036	1.282	1.645	1.960	2.054	2.326	2.576	2.807	3.091	3.291
	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	95%	96%	98%	99%	99.5%	99.8%	99.9%

Confidence level *C*

From the *New York Times*:

Point estimate in headline:

"40% in Survey Say Inflation is Major Issue"

Confidence interval wording later in the article:

"In theory, one can say with 95 percent certainty that the results based on the entire sample differ by no more than 3 percentage points in either direction from what would have been obtained by interviewing all adult Americans."

Confidence interval as expressed in [statistics](#):

"We are 95% confident that the actual percent of adult Americans who say inflation is a major issue is between 37% and 43%."